

On the Lane-Emden equation

Louis Dupaigne
Institut Camille Jordan, UMR CNRS 5208, Lyon, France

Universal estimates and Liouville-type theorems

Local regularity theory for semilinear elliptic equations

Consider the Lane-Emden equation

$$-\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (1)$$

and more generally any semilinear elliptic equation of the form

$$-Lu = f(x, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (2)$$

where Ω is any open set of euclidean space and

- ▶ L scales like a laplacian i.e.

$$Lu = a_{ij}(x)\partial_{ij}u + b_i(x)\partial_i u + c(x)u$$

is a uniformly elliptic operator of order 2 with smooth coefficients and ellipticity constants λ, Λ .

- ▶ f scales algebraically at infinity i.e. for all $x \in \Omega$, $t \geq 0$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$0 \leq f(x, t, \xi) \leq C(1 + t^p + |\xi|^{\frac{2p}{p+1}})$$

and for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty, y \in \Omega \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y, t, t^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \xi)}{t^p} = \ell(x) \in (0, +\infty),$$

locally uniformly in ξ .

Theorem (Polacik-Quittner-Souplet, Duke, 2007)

The following assertions are equivalent

- 1. The Lane-Emden equation (1) has no positive solution*
- 2. There exists constants $C_i = C_i(\lambda, \Lambda, b, c, n, f) > 0$ such that for all positive solutions of (2)*

$$u(x) \leq C_1 + C_2 \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$$

Furthermore, if $f(u) = u^p$, $C_1 = 0$.

$2 \implies 1$ is easy. The reverse implication uses a rescaling procedure [Gidas-Spruck, Comm. PDE, 1981] and a doubling lemma [Gromov, Geom. Funct. Anal, 1991]

Critical exponents

We say that p lies below the critical Sobolev exponent if $p < p_S(n)$, where

$$p_S(n) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n \leq 2 \\ \frac{n+2}{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

and p lies below the Joseph-Lundgren exponent if $p < p_C(n)$, where

$$p_C(n) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n \leq 10 \\ \frac{(n-2)^2 - 4n + 8\sqrt{n-1}}{(n-2)(n-10)} & \text{if } n \geq 11 \end{cases}$$

Critical exponents

The Lane-Emden equation (1) is scale-invariant: if u is a solution, then so is

$$u^\lambda(x) = \lambda^{\frac{2}{p-1}} u(\lambda x).$$

Further, it is variational, with energy functional given by

$$E_0(u; B) = \int_B \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right\} dx$$

- ▶ The Sobolev exponent is the unique exponent such that

$$E_0(u; B_\lambda) = E_0(u^\lambda; B_1)$$

- ▶ It is natural to consider solutions preserving the scale invariance i.e. homogeneous solutions.

In particular, there exists a singular solution of the form

$$u_s(x) = A|x|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}.$$

Definition

A solution to the Lane-Emden equation is said to be stable if the second variation of the energy is nonnegative i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p|u|^{p-1}\varphi^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

It has finite Morse index if the above inequality fails at most on a (punctured) finite dimensional subspace.

Hence, u_s is stable if

$$pA^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla\varphi|^2 dx$$

which holds, in virtue of Hardy's inequality if and only if

$$pA^{p-1} \leq \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \iff p \geq p_c(n).$$

Liouville theorems

1. If $p < p_S(n)$, the Lane-Emden equation has no positive solution [Gidas-Spruck, Comm. PDE, 1981]
2. If $p = p_S(n)$, up to rescaling and translation, the positive solution is unique, thus radial [Caffarelli-Gidas-Spruck, CPAM, 1989]
3. If $p < p_c(n)$, $p \neq p_S(n)$, there is no nontrivial solution of finite Morse index [Farina, JMPA, 2007]
4. Conjecture [Wei, 2013] : if $p_c(n) \leq p < p_c(n - 1)$, up to rescaling and translation, there is a unique stable solution, thus radial

Wei's conjecture is motivated by the fact that for $p < p_c(n - 1)$, the nonradial function $\tilde{u}_s(x) = A|x'|^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$ is unstable. For $p_S(n - 1) < p < p_c(n - 1)$, $\exists \infty$ 'ly many singular sol's, asymptotic to \tilde{u}_s , unstable as such, see [Dancer-Guo-Wei, Indiana Math J, 2013]

Partial regularity in the supercritical cases

1. If $p \geq p_S(n)$ and $u > 0$ is a (local) stationary solution, then $u \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \Sigma)$, where Σ is a closed set such that

$$\text{cap}_{2,p'}(\Sigma) = 0.$$

[Adams, EJDE, 2012]

2. If $p \geq p_c(n)$ and $u \in H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ has finite Morse index,

$$\mathcal{H}_{dim}(\Sigma) \leq N - 2 \frac{p + \gamma}{p - 1},$$

with $\gamma = 2p + 2\sqrt{p(p-1)} - 1$.

[Dávila-D-Farina, JFA 2010]

Other nonlinearities

1. If $f(u) = e^u$, $N = 2$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^u < \infty$, then up to rescaling and translation, the solution is unique and radial [Chen-Li, Duke, 1981]
2. If $f(u) = e^u$, $3 \leq N \leq 9$, no u has finite Morse index [Dancer-Farina, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 2009]
3. If $f \geq 0$, $1 \leq N \leq 4$, every bounded stable solution is constant [D-Farina, JEMS, 2010].
4. If $1 \leq N \leq 2$, every stable solution with bounded gradient is 1D [Berestycki-Caffarelli-Nirenberg, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 1997]

The Lane-Emden system

1. Biharmonic Lane-Emden and Liouville eq. : [C.S. Lin, Comment Math. Helv., 1998], [Wei-Xu, Math. Ann., 1999], [D-Ghergu-Goubet-Warnault, ARMA 2012], [Davila-D-Wang-Wei, arxiv].
2. Lane-Emden system not yet understood : see [Mitidieri, CPDE 1993], [de Figueiredo-Felmer, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa 1994], [Serrin-Zou, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ.Modena 1998], [Busca-Manasevich, Indiana 2002], [Polacik-Quittner-Souplet, Duke 2007], [Souplet, Adv Math 2009], [Chen-D-Ghergu, DCDS-A 2013], [Cowan, arxiv].

The fractional Lane-Emden equation

For $s \in (0, 1)$ and $p > 1$, consider the equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{p-1} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$u \in C^{2\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, (1 + |x|)^{n+2s} dx), \quad 0 < s < \sigma < 1$$

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = c_{n,s} PV \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy.$$

Warning 1: many nonlinear diffusion operators

There are many nonlocal diffusion operators and **the fractional Lane-Emden equation is not universal**, as in the local case. For example, take a Lévy symbol of the form

$$\psi(\xi) = \int_{S^{n-1}} |\xi \cdot \omega|^{2s} \mu(d\omega),$$

where μ is a positive measure on S^{n-1} .

- ▶ The fractional Laplacian corresponds to the choice of the uniform measure
- ▶ The process (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^n) , where X_t^i are independent copies of a (linear) symmetric α -stable process ($\alpha = 2s$) corresponds to the choice $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{e_i}$. It is generated by

$$Lu(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x) - u(x + he_i)}{|h|^{1+2s}} dh.$$

Warning 2: (linear) boundary regularity is delicate

Theorem (Ros-Oton and Serra, JMPA 2013)

There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for $\psi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, have

$$\|\varphi/\delta^s\|_{C^\alpha(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

where

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s \varphi = \psi & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \varphi = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

$$u_s(x) = \frac{c_{n,s}}{(1-|x|^2)_+^{1-s}} \quad \text{solves} \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = 0 & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{B}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Abatangelo, arxiv 2013)

The problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = g & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ \delta^{1-s} u = h & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

is uniquely solvable. Given φ solving (3) for some $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, Green's identity is

$$\int_{\Omega} u(-\Delta)^s \varphi = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(-\Delta)^s u - \int_{\Omega^c} u(-\Delta)^s \varphi + \int_{\partial\Omega} a(x)(\delta^{1-s} u) \left(\frac{\varphi}{\delta^s} \right).$$

Basic properties of the fractional Lane-Emden eq.

The equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{p-1} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

- ▶ is scale invariant: if u is a solution, then so is

$$u_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} u(\lambda x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \lambda > 0,$$

- ▶ is variational with energy functional given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} u|^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} |u|^{p+1} \right\} dx$$

Critical exponents

We say that p lies below the critical Sobolev exponent if $p < p_S(n)$, where

$$p_S(n) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } n \leq 2s \\ \frac{n+2s}{n-2s} & \text{if } n > 2s \end{cases}$$

and p lies below the Joseph-Lundgren exponent if

$$p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{s}{p-1})\Gamma(s + \frac{s}{p-1})}{\Gamma(\frac{s}{p-1})\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2} - \frac{s}{p-1})} > \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+2s}{4})^2}{\Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{4})^2}.$$

For p supercritical, the above condition fails if and only if the singular solution

$$u_s(x) = A|x|^{-\frac{2s}{p-1}} \text{ is stable .}$$

Known Liouville theorems

1. If $p < p_S(n)$, the Lane-Emden equation has no positive solution [Chen-Li-Ou, CPAM 2006 and Y.Y. Li, JEMS 2004]
2. If $p = p_S(n)$, up to rescaling and translation, the positive solution is unique, thus radial [Chen-Li-Ou, CPAM 2006 and Y.Y. Li, JEMS 2004]

Our Liouville theorem

Theorem ([Dávila-D-Wei])

Let u be a solution with finite Morse index.

- ▶ If p lies below the Joseph-Lundgren exponent, $p \neq p_S(n)$, then $u \equiv 0$;
- ▶ If $p = p_S(n)$, then u has finite energy i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(-\Delta)^{s/2} u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} dx < +\infty.$$

If in addition u is stable, then in fact $u \equiv 0$.

The proof

[Bernstein, Comm. Soc. Math. de Kharkov, 1915]

Theorem

Let $N \leq 7$. Assume $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the minimal surface equation in \mathbb{R}^N . Then, the graph of u is a hyperplane.

Remark

The original proof of Bernstein, in dimension $N = 2$, contained a gap, discovered and fixed by [Hopf, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 1950]. The case $N = 3$ is due to [De Giorgi, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 1965], $N = 4$ to [Almgren, Ann. of Math., 1966], $N \leq 7$ to [Simon, Ann. of Math., 1968]. A counter-example was found by [Bombieri-De Giorgi-Giusti, Invent. Math., 1969] for $N \geq 8$. An important step in the proofs is the following result due to Fleming:

Theorem ([Fleming, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 1962])

If there exists a nonplanar entire minimal graph, then there exists a singular area-minimizing hypercone.

sketch of the proof of our theorem

- ▶ I will discuss only the case where p supercritical and u is stable, i.e. for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p-1} \varphi^2 dx \leq \|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

- ▶ Estimate solutions in the $L^{p+1} \cap H^s$ norm (Cacciopoli or energy method)
- ▶ Localize the problem by extension in the half-space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .
- ▶ Derive a monotonicity formula $E = E(r)$.
- ▶ Consider the blow-down (weak) limit

$$\bar{u}^\infty(x) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} \bar{u}(\lambda x)$$

- ▶ \bar{u}^∞ satisfies $E(r) \equiv \text{const}$. Hence, \bar{u}^∞ is a homogeneous stable solution
- ▶ Prove that such solutions are trivial if p is below $p_c(n)$, by analyzing the equation on the half-sphere of \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .
- ▶ Using the monotonicity formula again, prove that in fact \bar{u} is trivial.

Step 1: energy estimate

Lemma

For $m > n/2$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let

$$\eta(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-m/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\eta(x) - \eta(y))^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy$$

Then, there exists a constant $C = C(n, s, m) > 0$ such that

$$\rho(x) \leq C (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n}{2} - s}.$$

Lemma

Let u be a stable solution i.e. for all φ ,

$$p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p-1} \varphi^2 dx \leq \|\varphi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

Assume that $m \in (\frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{2} + \frac{s}{2}(p+1))$. Take η as above. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(n, p, s, m) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} \eta^2 dx + \frac{1}{p} \|u\eta\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C.$$

$$(-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{p-1} u \quad \times \quad u \eta^2$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} \eta^2 \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^s u \, u \eta^2 \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{s/2} u \, (-\Delta)^{s/2} (u \eta^2) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(u(x)\eta(x)^2 - u(y)\eta(y)^2)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(x)\eta^2(x) - u(x)u(y)(\eta^2(x) + \eta^2(y)) + u^2(y)\eta^2(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(u(x)\eta(x) - u(y)\eta(y))^2 - (\eta(x) - \eta(y))^2 u(x)u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \\ &= \|u\eta\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{(\eta(x) - \eta(y))^2 u(x)u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} \, dx \, dy \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$, we deduce that

$$\|u\eta\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} \eta^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \rho \, dx$$

Since u is stable, we deduce that

$$(p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} \eta^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \rho \, dx$$

Going back, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{p} \|u\eta\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p+1} \eta^2 \, dx \leq \frac{2}{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \rho \, dx$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \rho \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \rho \eta^{-\frac{4}{\rho+1}} \eta^{\frac{4}{\rho+1}} \, dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{\rho+1} \eta^2 \, dx \right)^{\frac{2}{\rho+1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^{\frac{\rho+1}{\rho-1}} \eta^{-\frac{4}{\rho-1}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho+1}} \end{aligned}$$

By technical lemma,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho^{\frac{\rho+1}{\rho-1}} \eta^{-\frac{4}{\rho-1}} \, dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |x|^2)^{-\left(\frac{n}{2} + s\right) \frac{\rho+1}{\rho-1} + \frac{2m}{\rho-1}} \, dx.$$

The integral is finite since $m < \frac{n}{2} + \frac{s}{2}(\rho + 1)$ and the lemma follows easily.



Step 2: localizing the problem

Theorem (Spitzer (Trans. AMS, 1958), Molcanov-Ostrovskii (Theory Probab. Appl., 1969), Caffarelli-Silvestre (Comm. in Part. Diff. Eq., 2007))

Take $0 < s < \sigma < 1$ and $u \in C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n, (1 + |x|)^{n+2s} dx)$.
For $X = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, let

$$\bar{u}(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(X, y) u(y) dy.$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \bar{u} = u & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ -t^{1-2s} \partial_t \bar{u} = \kappa_s (-\Delta)^s u & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$P(X, y) = c_{n,s} t^{2s} |X - y|^{-(n+2s)}, \quad \kappa_s = \frac{\Gamma(1-s)}{2^{2s-1} \Gamma(s)}.$$

In particular, if u solves the fractional Lane-Emden equation, its extension \bar{u} solves

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (t^{1-2s} \nabla \bar{u}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \\ -t^{1-2s} \partial_t \bar{u} = \kappa_s |\bar{u}|^{p-1} \bar{u} & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \end{cases}$$

Note that

- ▶ the energy estimate is transferred on \bar{u}
- ▶ the equation is still scale-invariant, i.e. if \bar{u} is a solution then so is

$$\bar{u}^\lambda(X) = \lambda^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} \bar{u}(\lambda X).$$

- ▶ and variational: the energy on a ball $B(x, r)$ is given by

$$E(\bar{u}, r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B(x, r)} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 dx dt - \frac{\kappa_s}{p+1} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B(x, r)} |\bar{u}|^{p+1} dx$$

Compute now the energy of the rescaled solution:

$$E(\bar{u}^\lambda, 1) = \lambda^{2s \frac{p+1}{p-1} - n} E(\bar{u}, \lambda) =: E_1(\bar{u}, \lambda)$$

Then

$$E_1(\bar{u}^\lambda, 1) = E_1(\bar{u}, \lambda).$$

Step 3: the monotonicity formula

Theorem (Dávila-D-Wei)

For $\lambda > 0$, let

$$E(\bar{u}; \lambda) = E_1(\bar{u}; \lambda) + \lambda^{\frac{4s}{p-1}-n} \frac{s}{p+1} \int_{\partial B_\lambda \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}^2 \, d\sigma.$$

Then, E is a nondecreasing function of λ . Furthermore,

$$\frac{dE}{d\lambda} = \lambda^{-n+2+\frac{4s}{p-1}} \int_{\partial B(x,\lambda) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial r} + \frac{2s}{p-1} \frac{\bar{u}}{r} \right)^2 \, d\sigma$$

Proof of the monotonicity formula

Recall that if

$$U(X; \lambda) = \bar{u}^\lambda(X) = \lambda^{\frac{2s}{p-1}} \bar{u}(\lambda X)$$

then,

▶ U solves the equation,



$$\begin{aligned} E_1(\bar{u}; \lambda) &= E_1(U; 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_1} t^{1-2s} |\nabla U|^2 dx dt - \frac{\kappa_s}{p+1} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_1} |U|^{p+1} dx, \end{aligned}$$

▶ and, using subscripts to denote partial derivatives,

$$\lambda U_\lambda = \frac{2s}{p-1} U + r U_r,$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_1}{d\lambda}(\bar{u}; \lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_1} t^{1-2s} \nabla U \cdot \nabla U_\lambda dx dt - \kappa_s \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} |U|^{p-1} U U_\lambda dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} U_r U_\lambda d\sigma \\ &= \lambda \int_{\partial B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} U_\lambda^2 d\sigma - \frac{2s}{p-1} \int_{\partial B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} U U_\lambda d\sigma \\ &= \lambda \int_{\partial B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} U_\lambda^2 d\sigma - \frac{s}{p-1} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int_{\partial B_1 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} U^2 d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

Step 4: the blow-down limit is homogeneous

Lemma

\bar{u}^∞ is homogeneous.

Proof:

- ▶ We know that (\bar{u}^λ) is bounded in the energy space, so it has a weak limit.
- ▶ Since $r \mapsto E(\bar{u}, r)$ is increasing, its limit at infinity exists. This limit is finite. Indeed, take $0 < r < R < +\infty$. Write $E = E_1 + E_2$, where E_1 is bounded thanks to the energy estimate and

$$E_2 = \lambda \frac{4s}{p-1} - n \frac{s}{p+1} \int_{\partial B(0, \lambda) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}^2 \, d\sigma$$

Since E is nondecreasing,

$$E(\bar{u}, \lambda) = E(U, 1) \leq \int_1^2 E(U, t) \, dt \leq C + \int_{B_2 \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} U^2 \leq C.$$

- ▶ Fix $R_2 > R_1 > 0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E(\bar{u}, \lambda_n R_2) - E(\bar{u}, \lambda_n R_1) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E(\bar{u}^{\lambda_n}, R_2) - E(\bar{u}^{\lambda_n}, R_1) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \frac{dE}{dt}(\bar{u}^{\lambda_n}, t) \, dt \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{(B_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1}) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} r^{2-n+\frac{4s}{p-1}} \left(\frac{2s}{p-1} \frac{\bar{u}^{\lambda_n}}{r} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}^{\lambda_n}}{\partial r} \right)^2 \, dx \, dt \\ &\geq \int_{(B_{R_2} \setminus B_{R_1}) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} r^{2-n+\frac{4s}{p-1}} \left(\frac{2s}{p-1} \frac{\bar{u}^\infty}{r} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}^\infty}{\partial r} \right)^2 \, dx \, dt \end{aligned}$$

Step 5: Liouville for homogeneous stable solutions

Write

$$\bar{u}^\infty(r, \theta) = r^{-\frac{2s}{p-1}} \psi(\theta).$$

Then,

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla \cdot (\theta_1^{1-2s} \nabla \psi) + \lambda \theta_1^{1-2s} \psi = 0 & \text{on } S_+^n, \\ -\theta_1^{1-2s} \partial_{\theta_1} \psi = \kappa_s |\psi|^{p-1} \psi & \text{on } \partial S_+^n \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{2s}{p-1} \left(n - 2s - \frac{2s}{p-1} \right)$.

Multiply the equation by ψ

$$\int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \lambda \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \psi^2 = \kappa \int_{\partial S_+^n} |\psi|^{p+1} \quad (4)$$

Just proved

$$\kappa_s \int_{\partial S_+^n} |\psi|^{p+1} = \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \lambda \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \psi^2$$

Stability

$$\kappa_s p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\bar{u}^\infty|^{p-1} \varphi^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi|^2$$

+ test functions optimizing the corresponding Hardy inequality

$$\varphi = r^{-\frac{n-2s}{2}} \eta(r) w(\theta):$$

$$\kappa_s p \int_{\partial S_+^n} |\psi|^{p-1} w^2 \leq \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla w|^2 + \left(\frac{n-2s}{2} \right)^2 \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} w^2$$

Does not suffice to take $w = \psi$ to conclude!

Let ϕ_α be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(\theta_1^{1-2s} \nabla \phi_\alpha) - ((\frac{n-2s}{2})^2 - \alpha^2) \theta_1^{1-2s} \phi_\alpha = 0 & \text{on } S_+^n \\ \phi_\alpha = 1 & \text{on } \partial S_+^n. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Multiplying by φ^2 / ϕ_α , get

$$\int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + ((\frac{n-2s}{2})^2 - \alpha^2) \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \varphi^2 = \kappa \lambda(\alpha) \int_{\partial S_+^n} \varphi^2 + \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \phi_\alpha^2 |\nabla(\frac{\varphi}{\phi_\alpha})|^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \quad (6)$$

A particular case is

$$\int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + (\frac{n-2s}{2})^2 \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \varphi^2 = \kappa \Lambda_{n,s} \int_{\partial S_+^n} \varphi^2 + \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \phi_0^2 |\nabla(\frac{\varphi}{\phi_0})|^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \quad (7)$$

We note that for $\alpha \in (0, \frac{n-2s}{2})$

$$\phi_0 \leq \phi_\alpha \quad \text{on } S_+^n. \quad (8)$$

Indeed, on S_+^n

$$\operatorname{div}(\theta_1^{1-2s} \nabla \phi_0) = (\frac{n-2s}{2})^2 \theta_1^{1-2s} \phi_0 \geq ((\frac{n-2s}{2})^2 - \alpha^2) \theta_1^{1-2s} \phi_0$$

so ϕ_0 is a sub-solution of (5). Then we can conclude by the maximum principle.

From now on we fix $\alpha \in (0, \frac{n-2s}{2})$ given by

$$\alpha = \frac{n-2s}{2} - \frac{2s}{p-1}$$

so that

$$\left(\frac{n-2s}{2}\right)^2 - \alpha^2 = \frac{2s}{p-1} \left(n-2s - \frac{2s}{p-1}\right) = \lambda.$$

Use the stability inequality with $\varphi = \frac{\psi\phi_0}{\phi_\alpha}$:

$$\kappa p \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^{p+1} \leq \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla \left(\frac{\psi\phi_0}{\phi_\alpha}\right)|^2 + \left(\frac{n-2s}{2}\right)^2 \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \left(\frac{\psi\phi_0}{\phi_\alpha}\right)^2$$

Combining with (7) (used with $\varphi = \frac{\psi\phi_0}{\phi_\alpha}$):

$$\kappa p \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^{p+1} \leq \kappa \Lambda_{n,s} \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^2 + \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \phi_0^2 |\nabla \left(\frac{\psi}{\phi_\alpha}\right)|^2.$$

Since $\phi_0 \leq \phi_\alpha$,

$$\kappa p \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^{p+1} \leq \kappa \Lambda_{n,s} \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^2 + \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \phi_\alpha^2 |\nabla \left(\frac{\psi}{\phi_\alpha}\right)|^2.$$

and using (6)

$$\kappa p \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^{p+1} \leq \kappa \Lambda_{n,s} \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^2 + \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \left(\left(\frac{n-2s}{2}\right)^2 - \alpha^2\right) \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \psi^2 - \kappa \lambda(\alpha) \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^2$$

Recall the energy identity

$$\int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} |\nabla \psi|^2 + \left(\left(\frac{n-2s}{2}\right)^2 - \alpha^2\right) \int_{S_+^n} \theta_1^{1-2s} \psi^2 = \kappa \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^{p+1}$$

so that

$$\kappa(p-1) \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^{p+1} \leq \kappa(\Lambda_{n,s} - \lambda(\alpha)) \int_{\partial S_+^n} \psi^2.$$

Step 6: The solution itself is trivial

We have (almost) proved that, as $\lambda \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$E(\bar{u}, \lambda) = E(\bar{u}^\lambda, 1) \rightarrow E(\bar{u}^\infty, 1) = 0$$

Now, as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E(\lambda; 0, \bar{u}) &= \lambda^{2s\frac{p+1}{p-1}-n} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_\lambda} t^{1-2s} |\nabla \bar{u}|^2 dx dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\kappa_s}{p+1} \int_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap B_\lambda} |\bar{u}|^{p+1} dx \right) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{\frac{4s}{p-1}-n} \frac{s}{p+1} \int_{\partial B_\lambda \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2s} \bar{u}^2 d\sigma \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

So, \bar{u} itself has constant zero energy and so it must be homogeneous.