



Mathematical aspects of tumor growth and therapy

Benoît Perthame









A public heath problem

- In 1971, U.S. President Richard Nixon, signed the The National Cancer Act, called 'the war on cancer'
- 1500 Americans die every day from cancer
- since 2004, cancer is the first cause of mortality in France (34% among men, 25% among women)
- In developed countries, cancer is the second cause of mortality after hearth deseases



Many faces of the problem

- Solid and liquid tumors
- From molecules to entire organ
- Cell cycle/Circadian rhythms/Chronotherapeutics
- Angiogenesis (new vasculature brings nutrients)
- Immune system
- Resistance to treatment







<u>J</u>l

Organisation of the talk

- 1. Cell density models
- 2. Free boundary problem
- 3. The Hele-Shaw asymptotics
- 4. Resistance and Darwinian evolution
- 5. Dynamic of Dirac concentrations

JįL

Models of cell densities

The purely mechanical model

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n + \operatorname{div}(nv) = nG(p(x,t)), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t \ge 0, \\ v = -\nabla p(x,t), & p(x,t) \equiv \Pi(n) := n^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0. \end{cases}$$

Image based predictions : Swanson, Ayache, Colin-Iollo-Saut Byrne, Chaplain, Benamar, Colin-Saut, Drasdo, Joanny-Prost-Jülicher... 'homeostatic pressure'





Models of cell densities

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n + \operatorname{div}(nv) = nG(p(x,t)), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t \ge 0, \\ v = -\nabla p(x,t), & p(x,t) \equiv \Pi(n) := n^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0. \end{cases}$$
Properties : $e^{-G_M t}n(x,t) \in L_t^{\infty}(L_x^1), \quad p(x,t) \le p_M$
 $e^{-G_M t} \frac{\partial n(x,t)}{\partial x_i} \in L_t^{\infty}(L_x^1), \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n^0 \ge 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(t) \ge 0$

No necrotic core (early stage) Stable

<u>j</u>l

Models of cell densities

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n + \operatorname{div}(nv) = nG(p(x,t)), & x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ t \ge 0, \\ v = -\nabla p(x,t), & p(x,t) \equiv \Pi(n) := n^{\gamma}, \quad \gamma > 0. \end{cases}$$





Models of cell densities

- 2. Active cells
- 3. Nutrients
- 4. Quiescent cells
- 5. Models of mixture, multiphase flows (L. Peziosi-A. Tosin..., Titi-Lowengrub-Zhao)
- 5. Healthy cells
- 7. Extra-cellular matrix
- 8. Angiogenesis

Credit for pictures : INRIA team MC2 (Bordeaux)









Models of cell densities



Effects of nutrients

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n + \operatorname{div}(nv) = nG(p(x,t), c(x,t)), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}c - \Delta c + R(n)c = c_b \end{cases}$$

Free boundary models



Spatial domain $\Omega(t)$



Compute the pressure as

$\int -\Delta p = G$	G(p)	$x \in \Omega(t),$
p = 0	on	$\partial \Omega(t).$

- Greenspan 1972,
- Lowengrub,..., Cristini, Nonlinearity 2010
- Roose, Maini, Chapman (SIAM review 2007),
- Friedman, DCDS(B) 2004

JįL

Free boundary models

Compute the pressure as

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\Delta p = G(p) & x \in \Omega(t), \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega(t). \end{cases}$$

and Darcy's law

 $v(x,t) = -\nabla p(x,t).$

The tumor grows with the normal velocity

$$\dot{X}(t) = v(X(t), t)), \qquad X(t) \in \partial \Omega(t).$$

Often, surface tension is included with κ the mean curvature

 $p(x,t) = \eta \kappa(x,t),$ on $\partial \Omega(t)$



From cell densities to free boundary

How to relate these two approaches cell densities and free boundary?

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{\gamma} + \operatorname{div}(n_{\gamma}v_{\gamma}) = n_{\gamma}G(p_{\gamma}(x,t)), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\ v_{\gamma} = -\nabla p_{\gamma}(x,t), & p_{\gamma}(x,t) \equiv \Pi(n_{\gamma}) := n^{\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

The Hele-Shaw limit is the limit $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ Stiif equation of state



Benilan, Caffarelli-Friedman, Gil, Quiros, Vazquez...etc

<u>J</u>L

From cell densities to free boundary

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{\gamma} + \operatorname{div}(n_{\gamma}v_{\gamma}) = n_{\gamma}G(p_{\gamma}(x,t)), & x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\ v_{\gamma} = -\nabla p_{\gamma}(x,t), & p_{\gamma}(x,t) \equiv \Pi(n_{\gamma}) := n^{\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

Theorem (Hele-Shaw limit) : As $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$

 $n_{\gamma}
ightarrow n_{\infty} \leq 1, \qquad p_{\gamma}
ightarrow p_{\infty} \leq p_{M}$ $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{\infty} - \operatorname{div} \left(n_{\infty} \nabla p_{\infty}
ight) = n_{\infty} G \left(p_{\infty}
ight), \\ p_{\infty} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n_{\infty}(x,t) < 1. \end{cases}$



Remarks

- 1. There is a unique solution to the equation on n_∞ (Crowley, Oleinik)
- 2. This is a *weak formulation* of the geometric problem



From cell densities to free boundary

 $\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{\infty} - \operatorname{div}(n_{\infty}\nabla p_{\infty}) = n_{\infty}G(p_{\infty}), \\ p_{\infty} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad n_{\infty}(x,t) < 1. \end{cases}$ Let us define $\Omega(t) = \{x \ s. \ t. \ p_{\infty}(x,t) > 0\}.$ Then, we have $n_{\infty}(x,t) = 1 \quad \forall x \in \Omega(t), \\ p_{\infty}\left[\ \Delta p_{\infty} + G(p_{\infty}) \right] = 0, \end{cases}$

Remark

- 1. However the equation on p_{∞} does not predict the set $\Omega(t)$
- 2. Not an obstacle problem
- 3. There is a notion of viscosity solution (I. Kim)

From cell densities to free boundary



This establishes the standard Hele-Shaw problem when

$$n^{0}(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\Omega^{0}\}}, \qquad \Omega^{0} = \{ p^{0} > 0 \},$$

then for all times

 $n(x,t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\Omega(t)\}}, \qquad \Omega(t) = \{ p(t) > 0 \},$

and the equation on n_{∞} is equivalent to say that $\Omega(t)$ is moving with the normal velocity $v = -\nabla p_{\infty}$, that is the free boundary problem and

$$egin{aligned} -\Delta p_\infty &= Gig(p_\inftyig) & x\in \Omega(t), \ p_\infty &= 0 & ext{on} & \partial \Omega(t). \end{aligned}$$

J

From cell densities to free boundary



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{\infty} = n_{\infty}G(\mathbf{0})$$





From cell densities to free boundary



Cell culture data in vitro at two different times. From N. Jagiella PhD thesis, INRIA and UPMC (2012)

<u>J</u>l

From cell densities to free boundary

Proof : Based on L^{∞} , BV estimates and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{\gamma} - n_{\gamma} p'(n_{\gamma}) \Delta p_{\gamma} - |\nabla p_{\gamma}|^{2} = n_{\gamma} p'(n_{\gamma}) G(p_{\gamma}(x,t))$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} p_{\gamma} + |\nabla p_{\gamma}|^{2} = \gamma p_{\gamma} [\Delta p_{\gamma} + G(p_{\gamma}(x,t))]$$

Lefthandside is a bounded measure. Difficulties :

- (i) Estimates on p_{γ} do not give much on n_{γ} .
- (ii) $|\nabla p_{\gamma}|^2 \rightarrow |\nabla p_{\infty}|^2$ strongly



Resistance to therapy : Motivations

- 40% of cancers escape to therapy
- cells adapt and become resistance to drug(s)
- Tumor as an ecological system



http://www.darevcan.univ-montp2.



Resistance to therapy : Motivations

Question 1. Heterogeneity Ecological models are compatible with the 'competitive exclusion principle'



Question 2. Adaptive therapy ? Play competition to optimize therapy

Resistance to therapy



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(x,t) = \begin{bmatrix} reproduction rate competition, apoptosis effect of drug \\ r(x) & - d(x)\varrho(t) & - c(t)\mu(x) \end{bmatrix} n(x,t)$$
$$\varrho(t) = \int n(x,t)dx \qquad \text{total number of cells}$$

- x = genetic expression for a 'resistance phenotype'
- x = 0 high proliferation in a normal environment,
- x = 1 high resistance (lower reproduction without drug)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(x,t) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{r(x)}{1+c_S(t)} & -d(x)\varrho(t) - \underbrace{c_T(t)\mu(x)} \\ 1+c_S(t) & \end{array}\right]n(x,t)$$

Resistance to therapy



$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(x,t) = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{reproduction rate} & \operatorname{competition, apoptosis} & \operatorname{effect of drug} \\ r(x) & - & d(x)\varrho(t) & - & c(t)\mu(x) \end{bmatrix} n(x,t)$$
$$\varrho(t) = \int n(x,t)dx \qquad \qquad \text{total number of cells}$$

- x = genetic expression for a 'resistance phenotype'
- x = 0 high proliferation in a normal environment,
- x = 1 high resistance (lower reproduction without drug)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n(x,t) = \left[\underbrace{\frac{r(x)}{1+c_S(t)}}_{\text{cytostatic drug}} - d(x)\varrho(t) - \underbrace{c_T(t)\mu(x)}_{\text{cytotoxic drug}}\right]n(x,t)$$



This is compatible with the competitive exclusion principle



Levchenko et al, PNAS 2005. In vitro. Expression of P-gp measured by fluorescence

Resistance to therapy



A simple explanation of this observation is after rescalling

$$\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} n_{\varepsilon}(x,t) = \left[\frac{r(x)}{1+c_S} - d(x) \varrho_{\varepsilon}(t) - c_T \mu(x) \right] n_{\varepsilon}(x,t) + \varepsilon^2 \Delta n_{\varepsilon}$$
$$n_{\varepsilon}(x,t) = e^{\frac{u_{\varepsilon}(x,t)}{\varepsilon}}$$

In the limit we obtain the 'Constrained Hamilton-Jacobi Equation'

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u = \frac{r(x)}{1+c_S} - d(x)\varrho(t) - c_T \mu(x) + |\nabla u|^2\\ \max_x u(x,t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

JIL

Resistance to therapy

Theorem

$$n_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \to 0]{} \overline{\varrho}(t)\delta(x-\overline{x}(t))$$

and there is no easy chracterization of $\bar{\varrho}(t)$, $\bar{x}(t)$

 $\max_{x} u(x,t) = 0 = u(\bar{x}(t),t)$

Conclusion 1. Heterogeneity comes from spatial organization



Without therapy

With therapy

Resistance to therapy







Conlusions - Perspectives

- Very different questions from biology and medicine; very different mathematics
- Asymptotic analysis arises naturally because of the scales
- Many open mathematical questions
 - Hele-Shaw asymptotics for systems of PDEs
 - Interaction of space and Darwinian evolution

JIL

Thanks to my collaborators

- F. Quiros, J.-L. Vazquez, M. Tang, N. Vauchelet
- D. Drasdo, N. Jagiella, I. Chedaddi, I. Vignon-clementel
- O. Diekmann, G. Barles, S. Mirrahimi, P. E. Souganidis
- A. Escargueil, J. Clairambault, T. Lorenzi, A. Lorz